

The adjunction problem and a theorem of Serre

MAX FORESTER

COLIN ROURKE

Math Department, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK 73019, USA

Maths Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

Email: `forester@math.ou.edu` and `cpr@maths.warwick.ac.uk`

Abstract In this note we prove injectivity and relative asphericity for “layered” systems of equations over torsion-free groups, when the exponent matrix is invertible over $\mathbb{Z}$. We also give elementary geometric proofs of results due to Bogley–Pride and Serre that are used in the proof of the main theorem.

AMS Classification 20E22, 20F05; 57M20, 57Q05

Keywords Adjunction problem, aspherical relative presentations, relative 2–complexes, torsion-free groups

A long-standing problem in group theory is the *adjunction problem* of deciding when a given group injects into the group obtained by adjoining new generators and relators [8]. This note solves the adjunction problem over torsion-free groups in the special case in which new generators and relators are added in pairs and such that the exponent matrix is invertible. We prove that in this case the group does inject. The case of one such pair was proved by Klyachko [7]. The extension uses our previous paper [4] and a result of Bogley and Pride [1], which in turn follows from an old theorem of Serre, whose proof depends on Tate cohomology; see Huebschmann [6]. We give new and elementary proofs of both the Bogley–Pride and Serre results.

Let (L, K) be a relative 2–complex (a CW–pair such that $L - K$ is at most 2–dimensional). We say that (L, K) is *relatively aspherical* if the map

$$\pi_2(K \cup L^{(1)}, K) \rightarrow \pi_2(L, K)$$

is surjective. As shown in [4, 3.1–3.3], this occurs if and only if conclusions (a) and (b) of Theorem 1 below hold. This is the natural topological notion of asphericity but it should be noted that it differs from the combinatorial notion introduced in [1]. The difference concerns the definition of irreducibility of diagrams representing elements of $\pi_2(L, K)$; see [4].

The fundamental group of L is obtained from $G = \pi_1(K)$ by adding generators $\{t_i\}$ and relators $\{r_j\}$ corresponding to the 1–cells and 2–cells respectively of

$L - K$. The relators $r_j \in G * \langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle$ can then be viewed as a system of equations in the variables $\{t_i\}$ with coefficients in G . It is well known (see Howie [5] for example) that the map $\pi_1(K) \rightarrow \pi_1(L)$ is injective if and only if the system has a solution in an overgroup of G .

The *exponent matrix* of the system (or of the pair (L, K)) has entries m_{ij} equal to the exponent sum of t_i in the relator r_j . In topological terms it is the 2-dimensional boundary map in the relative cellular chain complex of (L, K) .

A long-standing conjecture [5] states that for any relative 2-complex (L, K) , if the exponent matrix is nonsingular, then $\pi_1(K) \rightarrow \pi_1(L)$ is injective. If we assume further that $\pi_1(K)$ is torsion-free and the exponent matrix is invertible over $\mathbb{Z}$ then we conjecture that (L, K) is also relatively aspherical. (As shown in [4] this conclusion can fail if either of the additional hypotheses is omitted.) Our main result proves this in a special case:

Theorem 1 *Let (L, K) be a layered relative 2-complex with $\pi_1(K)$ torsion-free. If the exponent matrix is invertible over $\mathbb{Z}$ then*

- (a) $\pi_1(K) \rightarrow \pi_1(L)$ is injective, and
- (b) the inclusion-induced map $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(K)} \pi_2(K) \rightarrow \pi_2(L)$ is an isomorphism.

Here, (L, K) is *layered* if $L - K$ has equal numbers of 1- and 2-cells and L is formed from K by alternately adding 1-cells and 2-cells. In terms of the associated relative presentation it means that the generators and relators can be added alternately.

A special case of Theorem 1 was proved in [4]: the theorem was proved when $L - K$ consists of one 1-cell and one 2-cell. In this note we observe that the special case can be applied inductively, with the aid of the Bogley–Pride–Serre result, stated and proved as Theorem 2 below. It is worth stressing that part (b) of Theorem 1, for the case of one new generator and one new relator, is a non-trivial extension of Klyachko’s theorem and it is the key to allowing the inductive argument of this note to proceed.

Proof The layered hypothesis implies that there is a nested sequence of sub-complexes $K = K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \dots \subset K_n = L$ where $K_{i+1} - K_i$ has one 1-cell and one 2-cell for each i . Note that the exponent matrix for (L, K) is triangular with diagonal entries equal to ± 1 , and these diagonal entries represent the 1×1 exponent matrices for the pairs (K_{i+1}, K_i) . In particular each pair (K_{i+1}, K_i)

is *amenable* in the sense of Fenn and Rourke [3]. Then by the main theorem of [4], the pair (K_{i+1}, K_i) is relatively aspherical provided $\pi_1(K_i)$ is torsion-free.

We are given that $\pi_1(K_0)$ is torsion-free, and so (K_1, K_0) is relatively aspherical. By Theorem 2 below $\pi_1(K_1)$ is then torsion-free. Proceeding inductively, using [4] and Theorem 2, we find that every pair (K_{i+1}, K_i) is relatively aspherical.

It remains to verify that relative asphericity is transitive. Given $K \subset L \subset M$ with $M - K$ at most 2-dimensional, relative asphericity of (M, L) and (L, K) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_2(M) &= \mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(L)} (\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(K)} \pi_2(K)) \\ &= \mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(K)} \pi_2(K)\end{aligned}$$

so condition (b) holds for (M, K) . Condition (a) for (M, K) is clear. $\square$

Remark The proof shows that the exponent matrix hypothesis can be relaxed to allow layered relative 2-complexes for which each pair (K_{i+1}, K_i) is amenable, ie, that the relator given by the new 2-cell has an “amenable t -shape” in terms of the new generator; see [3] or [4]. The result also solves the adjunction problem for systems of generators and relators which can be transformed, by a change of variables, into a layered amenable system.

The next theorem is analogous to (and follows from) Theorem 1.4 of [1], though our version also follows directly from the theorem of Serre given in [6] and used by [1]. Serre’s argument is algebraic. We shall give a direct geometric proof. At the end of the paper we extend this proof to recover the full power of Serre’s theorem.

Theorem 2 *If (L, K) is relatively aspherical then every finite subgroup of $\pi_1(L)$ is contained in a unique conjugate of $\pi_1(K)$.*

Proof By adding cells of dimension ≥ 3 we can arrange that all the homotopy groups of K vanish in dimensions 2 and above. This does not change the fact that (L, K) is relatively aspherical. The easiest way to see this is to use the diagram interpretation used in [4]: relative asphericity means that there are no irreducible diagrams over $\pi_1(K)$ using the cells of $L - K$. This only depends on $\pi_1(K)$ and the form of the added relators and hence is unchanged by a change in the higher homotopy groups of K . After adding the new cells $\pi_2(L)$ is trivial.

Let $\tilde{L}$ be the universal cover of L and $\tilde{K}$ the preimage of K in $\tilde{L}$. Let $\hat{L}$ be the 2-complex obtained from $\tilde{L}$ by collapsing each connected component of $\tilde{K}$ to a vertex. Since each of these components is contractible, the map $\tilde{L} \rightarrow \hat{L}$ is a homotopy equivalence, and so $\pi_1(\hat{L})$ and $\pi_2(\hat{L})$ are trivial. Then since $\hat{L}$ is 2-dimensional, it is contractible.

Note that the induced action of $\pi_1(L)$ on $\hat{L}$ is free away from the 0-skeleton, and the vertices have stabilisers equal to the conjugates of $\pi_1(K)$ in $\pi_1(L)$. Hence it suffices to show that every finite subgroup of $\pi_1(L)$ fixes a unique vertex of $\hat{L}$.

This follows from the next two lemmas, the first of which well-known, cf [2].

Lemma 1 *Suppose a group acts cellularly on an acyclic finite dimensional CW-complex Q freely away from the 0-skeleton. Then every non-trivial element g of finite order fixes a unique vertex of Q .*

Proof Denote $Q/\langle g \rangle$ by T and let $f: Q \rightarrow T$ be natural projection.

Step 1 *If g has prime order p then g has at least one fixed point.*

Suppose that g has no fixed points. We will inductively construct $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cycles c_i in T in all dimensions. Start with c_0 any vertex and let $b_0 = f^{-1}c_0$. Then b_0 consists of p points and hence is zero in $H_0(Q, \mathbb{Z}/p) = \mathbb{Z}/p$. So b_0 is the boundary of a 1-chain a_1 and we define $c_1 = f(a_1)$. Now suppose that c_i has been constructed. Let $b_i = f^{-1}c_i$ which is a $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cycle in Q and which p -fold covers c_i . Since Q is acyclic, b_i is the boundary of a $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -chain a_{i+1} say. Then $c_{i+1} = f(a_{i+1})$ is the next cycle.

We claim that all these cycles are non-zero in $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -homology. It then follows that T (and hence Q) is infinite dimensional, contradicting the hypotheses. Hence g has a fixed point.

To see the cycles are all essential notice that the construction is natural and maps to a similar construction in the universal $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -bundle. We use Milnor's construction for the universal bundle, namely $E = \lim_i *_i P$ where P is a p point space, $*_i$ denotes the i -fold join $P * P * \dots * P$ (that is, i join operations on $i+1$ copies of P), and the action is the join of the cyclic action on P . If we apply the construction to the i -th stage $*_i P \rightarrow R = *_i P / \mathbb{Z}/p$ then the cycles b_j are the subsets $*_j P$ for $j \leq i$. So in this case b_i is the top (fundamental) cycle in $*_i P$ and is therefore non-zero. But if any of the cycles c_j , $j \leq i$ is zero, so are all subsequent ones and then b_i would be zero. It follows that c_j , $j \leq i$ are non-zero in R and hence, in the limit all the c_i are non-zero.

Step 2 *If g has prime order p then g has at most one fixed point.*

Suppose g fixes at least two points (which must be vertices). Choose two x, y say. Let c_1 be an arc in T from $f(x)$ to $f(y)$ and let $b_1 = f^{-1}(c_1)$ (a $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cycle) then b_1 bounds a chain a_2 in Q . Let $c_2 = f(a_2)$ a $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cycle in T . The construction now proceeds as in step 1.

We claim that as before all these cycles in T are non-zero in $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -homology. It then follows that T (and hence Q) is infinite dimensional, contradicting the hypotheses. Hence g has at most one fixed point.

To see this consider the universal bundle E as before. We map $Q \rightarrow \Sigma(E)$ (the suspension of E) by mapping x and y to the two suspension points and any other fixed points to either suspension point. Now for each fixed point a let A be its link in Q . By universality choose an equivariant map $A \rightarrow E$. Then a neighbourhood of a is mapped conically. Finally the map is extended to map the rest of Q to E by universality. Then since the construction is again natural it maps to a similar construction in $\Sigma(E)$. But here we are constructing the suspensions of the classes constructed in step 1 which are all non-zero.

To finish the proof of the lemma, suppose g has order n and fixes no point of Q . If g^k fixes a point x for some $k > 1$, then g^k also fixes gx (which is not x), and then a suitable power of g contradicts step 2. Hence $\langle g \rangle$ acts freely on Q and then a power of g contradicts step 1. Similarly if g has at least two fixed points, then a power of g contradicts step 2. $\square$

Lemma 2 *If a finite group G acts on a set X in such a way that each non-trivial element fixes a unique point, then G has a global fixed point.*

Proof Let x_g denote the unique fixed point of g . Note that $h(x_g) = x_{hgh^{-1}}$ and hence G acts on $\{x_g \mid g \in G - \{1\}\}$. So without loss we may assume that $X = \{x_g \mid g \in G - \{1\}\}$.

Denote the stabilizer of $x \in X$ by G_x . Choose any $x \in X$ and let $\mathcal{O} \subset X$ be the orbit containing x , and let $n = |\mathcal{O}|$. By the orbit stabiliser theorem $|G| = n |G_x|$. Notice that if $y \in \mathcal{O}$ then G_x and G_y are conjugate and hence $|G_x| = |G_y|$. Notice also that the hypothesis of unique fixed points implies that if $x \neq y$ then $G_x - \{1\}$ and $G_y - \{1\}$ are disjoint.

Now define $S = \{g \in G - \{1\} \mid x_g \in \mathcal{O}\}$. Then S is the union over $y \in \mathcal{O}$ of the disjoint sets $G_y - \{1\}$ and we have $|S| = n(|G_x| - 1)$, which implies that $|S| > \frac{1}{2}(|G| - 1)$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ was an arbitrary orbit there is not room for another

such set S and we must have $S = G - \{1\}$. Thus $|G| - 1 = n(|G_x| - 1)$ which implies $n = 1$ and $G_x = G$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2, and of Theorem 2. $\square$

Remark If one is interested only in the case where $\pi_1(K)$ is torsion-free, then only step 1 of Lemma 1 is needed. For if $\pi_1(L)$ has torsion, then there is an element g of prime order, and it fixes a vertex as in step 1. But then $\pi_1(K)$ has torsion, a contradiction. Thus for the proof of Theorem 1 the foregoing proof can be shortened to a few lines.

We now extend the proof just given to give a full proof of Serre's Theorem.

First note that the proof of Lemma 1 did not use the hypothesis that Q is finite dimensional, but that each quotient $Q/\langle g \rangle$ had finite $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -homological dimension for each element of prime order p in G . Nor was the hypothesis that Q is acyclic used fully. Lemma 2 used nothing about Q . Thus putting the two proofs together we have the following.

Theorem 3 (Global Fixed Point Theorem) *Suppose that a finite group F acts cellularly on a CW-complex Q freely away from the 0-skeleton. Suppose further that*

- (a) *for each prime factor p of $|F|$, Q is $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -acyclic, and*
- (b) *for each element g of F of prime order p the quotient $Q/\langle g \rangle$ has finite $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -homological dimension.*

Then F has a unique fixed point.

We take the statement of Serre's Theorem from Huebschmann [6].

Serre's Theorem *Let G be a group and $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ a family of subgroups such that for every $q \geq q_0$ the canonical map $H^q(G, M) \rightarrow \prod_i H^q(G_i, M)$ is an isomorphism for every G -module M . Then each finite subgroup F of G is contained uniquely in a conjugate of one of the G_i (and does not meet any other such conjugate).*

Proof Let K be the disjoint union of the $K(G_i, 1)$ for $i \in I$ and form the open wedge K^+ (ie add an arc to an external basepoint for each component) and then construct L , a $K(G, 1)$, by attaching cells to K^+ .

Let $\tilde{L}$ be the universal cover of L and $\tilde{K}$ the inverse image of K in $\tilde{L}$ (which comprises a number of copies of universal covers of the $K(G_i, 1)$'s). Then form

$\widehat{L}$ by squeezing each component of $\widetilde{K}$ to a point. Then since we are squeezing contractible subcomplexes $\widehat{L}$ is contractible and G acts freely off the 0-skeleton. Further the stabilisers of the vertices are the conjugates of the subgroups G_i , so we have to prove that each finite subgroup F of G fixes a unique vertex.

To do this we use Theorem 3 with $Q = \widehat{L}$. The space $\widehat{L}$ is contractible, so we have hypothesis (a). We have to check (b).

Now if H is a subgroup of G then $\widehat{L}/H$ is formed from a cover of L by squeezing components of the preimage of K . But the cohomology hypotheses lift to any cover (since they are given “for any G -module”) so $\widehat{L}/H$ is formed by squeezing a subspace which carries all but finitely many of the cohomology groups and hence by excision it has finite (co)homological dimension. Thus we have hypothesis (b) of Theorem 3. $\square$

Remarks (1) The Global Fixed Point Theorem is much stronger than needed to prove Serre’s theorem. In this application Q was contractible instead of just $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -acyclic for certain p and $Q/\langle g \rangle$ had finite homological dimension with all coefficients.

(2) We did not need Q to be a CW-complex for the above proofs to work but merely that the inclusion of the fixed points is a cofibration.

References

- [1] **W A Bogley, S J Pride**, *Aspherical relative presentations*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **35** (1992) 1–39 MR1150949
- [2] **Kenneth Brown**, *Cohomology of groups*, corrected reprint of the 1982 original, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 87, Springer-Verlag, New York (1994) MR1324339
- [3] **Roger Fenn, Colin Rourke**, *Klyachko’s methods and the solution of equations over torsion-free groups*, L’Enseignement Mathématique **42** (1996) 49–74 MR1395041
- [4] **Max Forester, Colin Rourke**, *Diagrams and the second homotopy group*, Comm. Anal. Geom. to appear, [arXiv:math.AT/0306088](#)
- [5] **James Howie**, *On pairs of 2-complexes and systems of equations over groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **324** (1981) 165–174 MR0614523
- [6] **J Huebschmann**, *Cohomology theory of aspherical groups and of small cancellation groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **14** (1979) 137–143 MR0524183
- [7] **Anton A Klyachko**, *A funny property of sphere and equations over groups*, Comm. in Alg. **21** (1993) 2555–2575 MR1218513
- [8] **B H Neumann**, *Adjunction of elements to groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **18** (1943) 4–11 MR0008808